Pustakalay Research Journal of Information Technology and Library Science
VOL 2 ISSUE NO.2 (JUL-DEC 2025)
ISSN - 3048-4960

https://www.bmsgroup.in/product/pustakalay-research-journal-of-information-technology-and-library-science-2/

Research Misconduct in Academia: A Critical Study of Fabrication, Falsification, and Plagiarism (FFP)

Rishikant Singh

Ph.D Research Scholar,

M.L.I.Sc (Gold Medalist), University of Delhi

Abstract:

Research integrity is fundamental to the credibility and advancement of academic scholarship. However, research misconduct has become a growing concern in the global academic community. The most widely recognized forms of misconduct include fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism (FFP). These unethical practices undermine scientific credibility, damage institutional reputation, and erode public trust in research. The present study critically examines the nature, causes, and consequences of FFP in academia. It also explores institutional policies, preventive measures, and technological tools designed to detect and prevent misconduct. The study is based on a review of literature, policy documents, and case analyses from both international and Indian academic contexts. The findings indicate that pressure to publish, lack of awareness of research ethics, and inadequate institutional monitoring are key factors contributing to misconduct. Strengthening ethical training, implementing strict policies, and promoting transparency in research practices are essential to ensure academic integrity.

Keywords : *Research Ethics, Academic Integrity, Fabrication, Falsification, Plagiarism, Research Misconduct.*

1. Introduction

Research is the cornerstone of knowledge creation and academic progress. Universities and research institutions rely on scholarly investigations to contribute to scientific advancement and societal development. However, the reliability of research depends on adherence to ethical principles and academic integrity. Research misconduct typically refers to unethical practices in research that intentionally mislead the academic community. The most commonly recognized forms are **fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism (FFP).** These practices distort research findings, compromise the authenticity of scientific knowledge, and undermine trust in academia. With the increasing emphasis on publication productivity, ranking systems, and research funding, many scholars face intense pressure to publish. This environment sometimes encourages unethical behaviours such as data manipulation or copying of others' work.

Consequently, academic institutions and international organizations have developed guidelines and frameworks to address research misconduct and promote ethical research practices.

2. Review of Literature

Research misconduct, including fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism (FFP), threatens academic integrity worldwide. Studies show that pressure to publish, inadequate supervision, and lack of ethical awareness are major contributors (Anderson & Steneck, 2011; Islam, 2018; Park, 2010). Plagiarism is especially common in India, exacerbated by predatory journals and weak institutional policies (Gupta & Sharma, 2018).

Technological tools such as AI-based plagiarism detection software have improved prevention, while regulatory frameworks like the UGC (2018) guidelines and ethics training programs help enforce compliance (Foltýnek et al., 2019; Singh & Gupta, 2022; Kumar, 2024). Overall, research shows that a combination of ethical education, monitoring, and detection tools is essential to reduce FFP, though more studies are needed on fabrication and falsification, particularly in Indian academia.

3. Statement of the Problem

The growing pressure to publish research articles and the rapid expansion of digital information have increased the incidence of research misconduct in academia. Fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism not only compromise the reliability of research but also damage the reputation of institutions and researchers. Despite the existence of ethical guidelines, cases of research misconduct continue to occur, indicating the need for a critical analysis of the issue and the development of effective preventive strategies.

4. Objective of the Study

The study aims to:

- Examine the concept of research misconduct in academia.
- Analyze the major forms of misconduct, particularly fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism.
- Identify the causes and consequences of research misconduct.
- Explore existing mechanisms for detecting and preventing misconduct in academic research.
- Suggest measures to promote research integrity in higher education institutions.

5. Research Methodology

Study adopts a **qualitative and descriptive research approach**. Data for the study were collected from secondary sources including research articles, books, institutional reports, and policy documents related to research ethics and academic integrity.

6. Forms of Research Misconduct

Research misconduct refers to unethical practices that violate the integrity and credibility of the research process. It undermines the reliability of scientific findings and damages public trust in

academic institutions. According to the U.S. Office of Research Integrity, research misconduct primarily involves three major forms: **fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism (FFP).** These unethical practices compromise the authenticity and reliability of scientific findings and violate the principles of honesty, accountability, and transparency in research.

6.1 Fabrication

Fabrication refers to the act of inventing data or results and reporting them as if they were real findings. Simply unauthorised creations. In such cases, researchers create false datasets or experiments that were never conducted. Example:

- Reporting experimental results without conducting the actual experiment.
- Creating imaginary survey responses.

Fabrication is considered one of the most serious forms of research misconduct because it completely distorts scientific knowledge.

6.2 Falsification

Falsification involves manipulating research materials, processes, or data in order to produce misleading results. Examples include:

- Altering research data.
- Manipulating images or graphs.
- Omitting contradictory results.
- Presenting false transcript
- Illness extension, deadline.

6.3 Plagiarism

Plagiarism refers to the act of presenting someone else's ideas, words, data, or intellectual work as one's own without proper acknowledgment. It is considered a serious violation of academic integrity and research ethics. In scholarly communication, plagiarism undermines the credibility of research and damages the trustworthiness of academic institutions.

Academic institutions, publishers, and research organizations emphasize strict policies to prevent plagiarism.

Table 1. Forms of Plagiarism

S. No.	Forms of Plagiarism	Simple Meaning
1	Direct Plagiarism	Copying text exactly from a source without citation.
2	Complete Plagiarism	Submitting someone else's full work as your own.
3	Self-Plagiarism	Reusing your own published work without mentioning it.
4	Paraphrasing Plagiarism	Changing some words but keeping the same idea without credit.
5	Mosaic Plagiarism	Mixing phrases from different sources without citation.

S. No.	Forms of Plagiarism	Simple Meaning
6	Patchwork Plagiarism	Joining copied parts from many sources into one text.
7	Accidental Plagiarism	Forgetting to cite a source by mistake.
8	Source Plagiarism	Giving wrong or fake sources.
9	Data Plagiarism	Using someone else's data or results without credit.
10	Image Plagiarism	Using images, charts, or diagrams without citation.
11	Idea Plagiarism	Using someone's original idea without acknowledging them.
12	Translation Plagiarism	Translating text from another language and claiming it as your own.
13	Collusion	Working with others but submitting the work as individual work.
14	Incremental Plagiarism	Using small parts of many sources without citation.
15	Code Plagiarism	Copying computer code without giving credit.
16	Secondary Source Plagiarism	Citing a source that you did not actually read.

7. Reasons for Research Misconduct

Research misconduct does not occur in isolation; it is often influenced by a variety of personal, institutional, and systemic factors within the academic environment. Understanding the underlying reasons for research misconduct is essential for promoting academic integrity and developing effective policies to prevent unethical behaviour in research.

The following list highlights some of the common reasons that may lead researchers to engage in research misconduct.

Table 2. List of Reasons for Research Misconduct :

• **Pressure to publish** – Researchers feel pressure to publish many papers.
• **Career advancement** – Desire for promotion, tenure, or recognition.
• **Competition in academia** – Intense competition for positions and funding.
• **Lack of ethical awareness** – Not understanding research ethics properly.
• **Poor research training** – Inadequate training in research methods.
• **Desire for fame or recognition** – Wanting quick academic reputation.
• **Financial incentives** – Monetary rewards linked to publications.
• **Time pressure** – Short deadlines to complete research work.
• **Lack of supervision** – Weak guidance from supervisors or mentors.
• **Inadequate institutional policies** – Weak rules against misconduct.
• **Data management problems** – Poor handling or recording of research data.
• **Personal ambition** – Strong desire to achieve success quickly.

• **Fear of failure** – Concern about negative results or rejection.
• **Publication bias** – Preference for positive or significant results.
• **Plagiarism culture** – Easy access to online content for copying.
• **Lack of accountability** – Few consequences for unethical behaviour.
• **Peer pressure** – Pressure from colleagues or collaborators.
• **Limited research resources** – Lack of funding, tools, or facilities.
• **Poor academic integrity culture** – Weak emphasis on honesty in research.
• **Misunderstanding of authorship rules** – Confusion about proper credit and citation.

8. UGC guidelines

The University Grants Commission issued strict rules under the **"UGC (Promotion of Academic Integrity and Prevention of Plagiarism in Higher Educational Institutions) Regulations, 2018."** These guidelines apply to **research scholars, faculty members, and supervisors** and define plagiarism levels and penalties.

Table 3. Penalties for Research Scholars

Level	Similarity	Penalty
Level 0	Up to 10%	No penalty
Level 1	10–40%	Scholar must revise and resubmit thesis within a specified period (usually up to 6 months)
Level 2	40–60%	Scholar is debarred from submitting a revised thesis for one year
Level 3	Above 60%	Registration for the programme is cancelled

Table 4. Penalties for Faculty/Supervisor

Level	Similarity	Penalty
Level 0	Up to 10%	No penalty
Level 1	10–40%	Author must withdraw the manuscript
Level 2	40–60%	Manuscript withdrawal + denial of one annual increment + not allowed to supervise new MPhil/PhD students for 2 years
Level 3	Above 60%	Manuscript withdrawal + denial of two annual increments + ban on supervising students for 3 years

Under the University Grants Commission **(UGC) Regulations on Promotion of Academic Integrity and Prevention of Plagiarism (2018)**, every higher educational institution must establish specific committees to handle plagiarism complaints and ensure research integrity. The two main bodies are:

- **Departmental Academic Integrity Panel (DAIP)** : This panel functions at the department level and is responsible for examining cases of suspected plagiarism in research

papers, assignments, theses, or dissertations. It conducts a preliminary investigation, reviews similarity reports, and recommends appropriate action to the higher authority.

- I**nstitutional Academic Integrity Panel (IAIP)** : This panel operates at the institutional or university level and acts as the final decision-making body. It reviews the recommendations of the DAIP, conducts further inquiry if required, and imposes penalties according to UGC plagiarism regulations.

These bodies help maintain **academic integrity, transparency, and accountability** in research activities within universities.

9. Softwares for Detection and Prevention

Many universities and research institutions use tools such as Turnitin, iThenticate, DrillBit, and Ouriginal to check theses, dissertations, and research papers. These systems generate similarity reports that highlight matched text and indicate the percentage of similarity with existing sources. Apart from detecting copied content, such software also helps **prevent plagiarism** by encouraging researchers to paraphrase properly, cite sources correctly, and maintain academic integrity. Many tools also provide features like citation assistance, reference checking, and AI-content detection, which further support ethical research practices. Therefore, plagiarism detection software has become an essential mechanism for maintaining **quality, transparency, and credibility in scholarly communication**.

Table 5. List of Softwares for Detection and Prevention of Research Misconduct.

S. No.	Software	Main Purpose
1	Turnitin	Detects similarity in research papers, theses, and assignments by comparing them with large academic databases and web sources.
2	iThenticate	Used by journals and publishers to check plagiarism in research articles before publication.
3	Copyleaks	Uses AI to detect copied content, paraphrasing, and AI-generated text.
4	Unicheck	Cloud-based tool that checks text against internet sources and institutional databases.
5	PlagScan	Compares documents with web content, journals, and internal repositories.
6	GPTZero	Detects whether content is written by AI systems such as ChatGPT.
7	Grammarly Plagiarism Checker	Detects copied content and also improves grammar and writing quality.
8	Quetext	Uses DeepSearch technology to identify plagiarism and provide citation suggestions.
9	Copyscape	Detects duplicate content on websites and online publications.
10	Duplichecker	Free online tool for quick plagiarism checking.

S. No.	Software	Main Purpose
11	Small SEO Tools Plagiarism Checker	Simple tool used by students and writers to check copied content.
12	DrillBit	Plagiarism detection software used in many Indian universities under the ShodhShuddhi programme.
13	Ouriginal (Urkund)	Academic plagiarism detection system used by universities worldwide.
14	Scribbr Plagiarism Checker	Online tool widely used by students for thesis and dissertation checking.
15	PlagTracker	Web-based plagiarism detection service for students and researchers.
16	Moss	Detects plagiarism in programming code.
17	JPlag	Used for detecting similarity in programming assignments.
18	Codequiry	Identifies plagiarism in programming code submissions.
19	Dolos	Modern tool used to detect plagiarism in source code submissions.
20	Integrito	Tracks writing process to detect suspicious copy-paste activity.

10. Measures for Preventions

Following measures can be adopted for preventions from research misconduct and penalties.

Table 5. Measures to avoid Plagiarism and Research Misconduct

S. No.	Technique / Measure	Description
1	Proper citation	Always cite the original source of information used in research.
2	Use quotation marks	Put copied text within quotation marks and provide citation.
3	Effective paraphrasing	Rewrite ideas in your own words while giving credit to the author.
4	Use reference management tools	Use tools like Zotero and Mendeley to organize citations.
5	Maintain research notes	Keep detailed notes of all sources used during research.
6	Check plagiarism before submission	Use plagiarism detection tools such as Turnitin before submitting work.
7	Understand research ethics	Follow ethical guidelines and principles in research activities.
8	Give credit for ideas	Acknowledge the original author when using their ideas.
9	Avoid copy–paste writing	Write content in your own words instead of copying text.
10	Use proper referencing style	Follow standard styles like APA Style or MLA Style.

S. No.	Technique / Measure	Description
11	Cite data and figures	Provide references for tables, graphs, and images used in research.
12	Avoid self-plagiarism	Do not reuse your previously published work without citation.
13	Follow authorship ethics	Give proper credit to all contributors in the research.
14	Seek supervisor guidance	Consult supervisors for guidance on ethical research practices.
15	Maintain data transparency	Keep accurate and honest research records.
16	Avoid fabrication and falsification	Do not create or manipulate research data.
17	Participate in ethics training	Attend academic integrity and research ethics programs.
18	Cross-check references	Ensure all cited sources are included in the reference list.
19	Follow institutional policies	Follow academic rules set by institutions and bodies like the University Grants Commission.

11. Conclusion

Research misconduct poses a serious threat to academic integrity and scientific progress. Fabrication, falsification, and plagiarism undermine the credibility of research findings and damage the reputation of institutions and researchers. The increasing pressure to publish and lack of ethical awareness are major contributors to unethical research practices. The **UGC regulations on plagiarism** provide a structured framework for addressing research misconduct in Indian higher education institutions. Additionally, the use of plagiarism detection software such as Turnitin, iThenticate, and Urkund has significantly improved the ability to detect unethical practices.

However, technological tools alone cannot solve the problem. Institutions must promote a strong culture of research ethics through training, supervision, and strict enforcement of academic integrity policies. Ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical awareness among researchers will ultimately help safeguard the quality and credibility of academic research.

References

1. Anderson, M. S., & Steneck, N. H. (2011). The problem of research misconduct. *Nature, 435*(7043), 737–738. https://doi.org/10.1038/435737a

2. Azad, M. J. M. (2025). Plagiarism consequences in academic research. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 30*(2), 112–120.

3. Foltýnek, T., Meuschke, N., & Gipp, B. (2019). Academic integrity in the age of artificial intelligence: Plagiarism detection and prevention. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 67*(4), 801–820. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-019-09679-5

4. Gupta, R., & Sharma, S. (2018). Plagiarism in Indian higher education: Challenges and

solutions. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology, 38*(4), 245–252.

5. Islam, M. M. (2018). The relationship between publication pressure and research misconduct. *Science and Engineering Ethics, 24*(3), 1053–1072. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-017-9976-2
6. Kumar, T. (2024). Research integrity and ethics in the 21st century. *World Conference on Research Integrity Proceedings*, 12–25.
7. Park, C. (2010). Plagiarism: A perspective from India and education. *Asian Journal of Education Research, 1*(2), 33–44.
8. Resnik, D. B. (2015). What is ethics in research and why is it important? National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
9. Singh, J., & Mishra, R. (2021). Academic misconduct and plagiarism detection tools. *Library Philosophy and Practice.* https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/4506
10. Singh, S., & Gupta, A. (2022). Ethics training in research programmes: An empirical study in Indian universities. *Indian Journal of Higher Education, 13*(1), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.21087/ijhe.v13i1.153
11. Sarewitz, D. (2016). How science makes environmental controversies worse. *Environmental Science & Policy, 66*, 3–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2016.09.007
12. Steneck, N. H. (2013). Research integrity and misconduct: A historical overview. *Accountability in Research, 20*(6), 325–340.
13. University Grants Commission. (2018). *Promotion of Academic Integrity and Prevention of Plagiarism in Higher Educational Institutions Regulations*. https://www.ugc.ac.in/pdfnews/8717265_UGC-Promotion-of-Academic-Integrity.pdf