Multidisciplinary Journal of Educational Research Innovation and Development
VOL 3 NO. 1 (JAN-JUN 2026)
ISSN - 3048-4685

https://www.bmsgroup.in/product/multidisciplinary-journal-of-educational-research-innovation-and-development/

Comparative Analysis of Open-Source Content Management Systems: WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla for Academic Use

Rishikant Singh
Ph.D Research Scholar,
M.L.I.Sc (Gold Medalist), University of Delhi

Abstract:

Content Management Systems (CMS) have become essential tools for developing and managing institutional websites, digital repositories, academic portals, and e-learning platforms in higher education. Among the numerous CMS platforms available, WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla are the most widely used open-source systems. This research paper provides a comparative analysis of these three CMS platforms with respect to usability, flexibility, security, scalability, community support, and suitability for academic environments. The study uses secondary data analysis and literature review to examine the strengths and limitations of each platform. Findings indicate that WordPress offers superior ease of use and a vast plugin ecosystem, Drupal provides powerful customization and security for complex institutional systems, while Joomla offers a balanced approach with moderate complexity and advanced access control features. The study concludes that the choice of CMS for academic institutions depends on institutional requirements, technical expertise, and scalability needs.

Keywords: *Content Management System (CMS); WordPress; Drupal; Joomla; Open-Source Software; Academic Websites; Web Development; Digital Content Management*

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of digital technologies has significantly transformed academic institutions, leading to the development of institutional websites, digital libraries, learning management systems, and research portals. Content Management Systems (CMS) play a crucial role in managing these digital platforms efficiently. A CMS allows users to create, manage, and modify website content without extensive programming knowledge, making it accessible to both technical and non-technical users.

Among the numerous CMS platforms available, WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla dominate the open-source CMS ecosystem. These platforms provide customizable themes, plugins or

modules, and flexible architecture that allow institutions to build dynamic and scalable websites. Academic institutions require CMS platforms that support multiple content types, user roles, collaboration tools, and security features. Therefore, choosing the appropriate CMS becomes an important strategic decision. This paper compares WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla in terms of functionality, usability, performance, and suitability for academic use.

2. Review of Related Literature

The rapid growth of digital technologies has increased the demand for efficient web content management systems for academic and institutional websites. CMS platforms allow users to create, manage, and publish digital content without extensive technical expertise. Among various CMS platforms, WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla have emerged as the most popular open-source systems used for website development and management. Martinez-Caro et al. (2018) conducted a comparative study of web content management systems and highlighted that CMS platforms simplify website development by offering templates, plugins, and content management features. Their study compared WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla by implementing similar websites using each platform and evaluating performance, usability, and security. The findings revealed that each system has specific advantages depending on the complexity of the website and user expertise. Iqbal et al. (2020) performed an empirical study comparing WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla using multiple evaluation criteria including ease of use, functionality, and performance. The study involved students who developed websites using these CMS platforms. The results indicated that WordPress was the most widely preferred system due to its simplicity, extensive plugin ecosystem, and lower technical requirements, making it suitable for beginners and educational institutions. Roy and Kumar (2017) analyzed these three CMS platforms in terms of design flexibility, scalability, compatibility, and search engine optimization. Their research suggested that Drupal offers high customization and scalability, making it suitable for complex enterprise-level websites. However, it requires advanced technical knowledge. Joomla was found to provide a balance between usability and functionality, making it appropriate for moderately complex web applications.

Further studies in web development literature emphasize that the choice of CMS depends on organizational needs, user expertise, and security requirements. Drupal is often preferred for large institutional portals because of its strong security framework and modular architecture. WordPress is commonly used for blogs, institutional websites, and educational portals due to its user-friendly interface and large developer community. Joomla is recognized for its flexibility and built-in content management features that support medium-scale websites. Recent research also highlights the importance of CMS platforms in supporting digital communication, e-learning portals, and institutional repositories in universities.

3. Objectives of the Study

- To examine the concept and role of CMS in academic institutions.
- To analyze the features of WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla.
- To compare the three CMS platforms based on key technical and functional parameters.
- To identify the most suitable CMS for academic and institutional use.

4. Research Methodology

The study adopts a comparative analytical research design based on secondary data. Information was collected from scholarly articles, technical reports, CMS documentation, and academic databases. Data were synthesized and presented through comparative tables and conceptual analysis. The analysis focuses on the following parameters:

- Ease of use
- Customization and flexibility
- Security
- Scalability
- Community support
- Plugin/extension ecosystem
- Suitability for academic websites

5. Concept of Content Management Systems

A Content Management System (CMS) is a software application that enables users to create, organize, manage, and publish digital content on websites without requiring extensive coding knowledge. CMS platforms provide features such as templates, plugins, multimedia management, and content publishing workflows.

Open-source CMS platforms allow institutions to modify the source code and customize features according to their requirements, making them cost-effective solutions for educational organizations.

5.1 WordPress

WordPress is the most widely used CMS in the world and powers a significant proportion of websites. It provides an intuitive dashboard, extensive plugin ecosystem, and thousands of themes that allow rapid website development.

5.2 Drupal

Drupal is a highly flexible and powerful CMS designed for complex and large-scale websites. It allows developers to create custom content types, taxonomies, and advanced user permission systems.

5.3 Joomla

Joomla lies between WordPress and Drupal in terms of complexity and functionality. It provides advanced access control systems and moderate flexibility suitable for community portals and organizational websites.

6. Comparative Analysis of WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla

To evaluate the suitability of open-source CMS platforms for academic institutions, several technical and functional parameters were considered. These include usability, performance, security, scalability, customization, and community support.

Table 1: General Comparison of CMS Platforms

Feature	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Year Released	2003	2001	2005
Programming Language	PHP	PHP	PHP
Database Support	MySQL, MariaDB	MySQL, PostgreSQL, MariaDB	MySQL
License	GPL	GPL	GPL
Market Share	Very High	Moderate	Moderate
Developer Community Size	Very Large	Large	Medium
Typical Website Type	Blogs, institutional sites	Enterprise and complex portals	Community and organizational sites
Installation Complexity	Very Easy	Moderate	Easy
Default Content Types	Posts and Pages	Multiple content types	Articles and categories
Built-in SEO Features	Basic	Moderate	Moderate
Multisite Capability	Available	Highly Advanced	Available

WordPress dominates the CMS ecosystem due to its simplicity and massive community support. Drupal offers stronger architecture and flexibility for complex web environments, while Joomla balances usability and functionality.

Table 2: Usability and Learning Curve Comparison

Parameter	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Installation Process	One-click installation	Requires configuration	Simple installation
User Interface	Highly intuitive	Developer-oriented	Moderately intuitive
Dashboard Simplicity	Very Simple	Complex	Moderate
Learning Curve	Low	High	Medium
Content Editing	Easy WYSIWYG editor	Requires understanding of structure	Moderate
Menu Management	Simple	Flexible but complex	Flexible
Media Management	Built-in media library	Advanced but technical	Good media management
Custom Content Creation	Limited without plugins	Very advanced	Moderate
Training Requirement	Minimal	High	Moderate
Suitable for Non-Technical Users	Highly suitable	Limited	Moderate

WordPress is the most accessible CMS for librarians, faculty members, and administrators with limited technical knowledge. Drupal requires advanced technical expertise, whereas Joomla offers intermediate usability.

Table 3: Extension Ecosystem Comparison

Parameter	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Plugins/Modules	60,000+ plugins	40,000+ modules	8,000+ extensions
Themes/Templates	10,000+ themes	2,000+ themes	1,000+ templates
Customization Level	High	Very High	High
Third-Party Integrations	Extensive	Extensive	Moderate
E-learning Extensions	Many	Moderate	Moderate
SEO Plugins	Large number	Moderate	Limited
Security Plugins	Extensive	Built-in features	Moderate
Community-developed Tools	Very High	High	Moderate
Update Frequency	Frequent	Frequent	Frequent

WordPress offers the largest ecosystem of plugins and themes, making it easier to implement features quickly. Drupal focuses on powerful modules for complex functionality, while Joomla offers moderate extension availability.

Table 4: Security Features Comparison

Security Aspect	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Core Security	Moderate	Very Strong	Strong
Security Updates	Frequent	Frequent	Frequent
Vulnerability Risk	Higher if plugins unmanaged	Low	Moderate
User Access Control	Basic	Advanced	Advanced
Authentication Support	Plugins required	Built-in strong options	Built-in
Spam Protection	Plugins	Modules	Extensions
Data Protection Features	Moderate	Strong	Strong
Security Reputation	Medium	Excellent	Good
Enterprise Security Adoption	Moderate	Very High	Moderate

Drupal is widely recognized for its strong security framework, making it suitable for government and university-level digital infrastructures. WordPress security depends heavily on plugins and maintenance.

Table 5: Performance and Scalability

Parameter	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Website Performance	Good	Excellent	Good
Scalability	Medium	Very High	High
Server Resource Efficiency	Moderate	High	Moderate
Large Data Handling	Moderate	Excellent	Good
Multi-site Capability	Available	Highly advanced	Available
Caching Mechanisms	Plugins required	Advanced built-in	Available
Load Handling Capacity	Moderate	High	Moderate
Enterprise Deployment	Limited	Very suitable	Moderate

Parameter	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Cloud Deployment Support	Good	Excellent	Good

Drupal demonstrates superior scalability and is capable of handling large academic portals, institutional repositories, and research management platforms.

Table 6: CMS Suitability for Academic Applications

Academic Application	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
University Website	Very Suitable	Highly Suitable	Suitable
Digital Repository	Moderate	Very Suitable	Moderate
Research Portals	Moderate	Excellent	Suitable
Academic Blogging	Excellent	Moderate	Moderate
Learning Management Integration	Good	Very Good	Good
Institutional Portals	Good	Excellent	Very Good
Conference Websites	Excellent	Good	Good
Library Websites	Very Suitable	Suitable	Suitable
Knowledge Sharing Platforms	Excellent	Good	Good
Multi-department Websites	Moderate	Excellent	Good

Drupal performs best for complex academic infrastructures, while WordPress is more suitable for departmental websites, blogs, and knowledge dissemination platforms.

Table 7: Community and Support Ecosystem

Parameter	WordPress	Drupal	Joomla
Global Community	Very Large	Large	Moderate
Documentation	Extensive	Extensive	Good
Developer Support	High	Very High	Moderate
Online Tutorials	Extensive	Moderate	Moderate
Forums and User Groups	Highly Active	Active	Active
Availability of Developers	Very High	High	Moderate
Industry Adoption	Very High	High	Moderate
Training Resources	Extensive	Moderate	Moderate

The WordPress ecosystem benefits from the largest global community, which ensures continuous updates, plugin innovation, and extensive learning resources.

7. Key Findings

The findings indicate that WordPress is the most user-friendly platform among the three, offering an intuitive interface, easy installation process, and a vast ecosystem of plugins and themes. These features make it highly suitable for small to medium academic websites such as departmental portals, research group pages, blogs, and institutional announcements where ease of management and quick deployment are essential.

In contrast, Drupal demonstrates superior capabilities in terms of security, scalability, and advanced customization. Its modular architecture and robust security framework make it an ideal platform for large universities, research institutions, and complex academic portals that require management of large datasets, multiple users, and integrated institutional services. Although Drupal offers high flexibility and strong performance for complex projects, it requires greater technical expertise and development resources for implementation and maintenance.
Joomla occupies a middle position between WordPress and Drupal in terms of functionality and complexity. It provides balanced features, including built-in user management, access control, and extension support, making it suitable for community-driven academic portals and moderately complex institutional websites. Overall, the study highlights that while WordPress emphasizes usability, Drupal focuses on security and scalability, and Joomla offers balanced functionality, making each CMS suitable for different academic web development needs.

8. Conclusion

The comparative study of WordPress, Drupal, and Joomla highlights that each platform offers distinct advantages for academic website development. WordPress is the most user-friendly CMS and is highly suitable for small to medium academic websites due to its simplicity and large plugin ecosystem. Drupal provides strong security, scalability, and advanced customization, making it ideal for large universities and research institutions with complex digital requirements. Joomla offers balanced functionality and is appropriate for community-oriented academic portals. The study concludes that the selection of a CMS largely depends on institutional needs, technical expertise, project complexity, and the capacity for long-term maintenance and support.

References

1. Al-Mansour, A. (2019). Web content management systems: A review of open-source platforms and their applications. *International Journal of Computer Applications, 177*(12), 1–6.
2. Anderson, T., & Elloumi, F. (2018). *Theory and practice of online learning* (3rd ed.). Athabasca University Press.
3. Clark, J. (2017). Content management systems for digital publishing and web development. *Journal of Digital Information, 18*(2), 45–55.
4. Davis, L. (2018). Comparative evaluation of open-source web development tools for website management. *International Journal of Web Engineering and Technology, 13*(1), 67–82.
5. Gupta, V., & Sharma, P. (2022). Adoption of open-source web technologies in higher education institutions. *International Journal of Information Studies, 14*(1), 24–36.

6. Iqbal, M., Noman, M., Talpur, S. R., Manzoor, A., & Abid, M. M. (2020). An empirical study of popular content management systems: WordPress vs Drupal vs Joomla. *ICTACT Journal on Management Studies, 6*(2), 1214–1219.

7. Jones, J. (2021). Drupal vs WordPress vs Joomla: Understanding the differences among CMS platforms. *TechTarget Journal of Enterprise Applications, 15*(3), 33–41.

8. Kumar, S., & Verma, R. (2021). Open-source software adoption in universities: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Library and Information Technology, 41*(5), 330–337.

9. Lee, M., & Kim, J. (2020). Evaluation of web frameworks and content management systems for modern web development. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 11*(6), 245–252.

10. Martinez-Caro, J. M., Aledo-Hernandez, A. J., Guillen-Perez, A., & Sanchez-Iborra, R. (2018). A comparative study of web content management systems. *Information, 9*(2), 27. https://doi.org/10.3390/info9020027

11. Patel, R., & Shah, H. (2021). Evaluation of open-source content management systems for institutional websites. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security, 19*(4), 75–82.

12. Prasad, A. A., Sharma, N. A., & Kumar, A. (2023). Comparative analysis of Joomla, Drupal, WordPress, and ASP.NET: Exploring features and performance. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Data Engineering*, 112–117.

13. Roy, M. B., & Kumar, N. (2017). A comparative study of content management systems: Joomla, Drupal and WordPress for web application development. *International Journal of Information Movement, 2*(5), 45–52.

14. Ruohonen, J. (2018). A demand-side viewpoint to software vulnerabilities in WordPress plugins. *Journal of Information Security and Applications, 39*, 94–102.

15. Singh, P., & Sharma, A. (2020). Role of content management systems in academic web development. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology, 40*(6), 367–373.

16. W3Techs. (2023). Usage statistics and market share of content management systems. *W3Techs Web Technology Surveys*. https://w3techs.com

17. Brown, D., & Wilson, S. (2019). Web development platforms and digital content management tools. *Journal of Web Engineering, 18*(4), 355–372.

18. Lemes, S. (2014). Information security management of web portals based on Joomla content management system. *International Journal of Computer Applications, 96*(18), 10–15.

19. Niemietz, M., Korth, M., Mainka, C., & Somorovsky, J. (2021). Security analysis of Joomla extensions. *IEEE Security & Privacy, 19*(2), 59–67.